IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA COLUMBUS DIVISION

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

V.

CIVIL ACTION NO.

COVENANT WOODS SENIOR LIVING, LLC d/b/a COVENANT WOODS, A SENIOR LIVING COMMUNITY and BRIGHTSPACE SENIOR LIVING, LLC,

Defendants.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

COMPLAINT

This is an action under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967,

Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended, and Title I of
the Civil Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful employment practices on the bases
of age and disability and to appropriate provide relief to Shirley Noble, who was
adversely affected by the unlawful employment practices. The Equal Employment
Opportunity Commission (the "Commission" or the "EEOC") alleges that
Defendants Covenant Woods Senior Living, LLC d/b/a Covenant Woods, a Senior
Living Community, and BrightSpace Senior Living, LLC (collectively,

The Secret

"Defendants") discriminated against Noble when they terminated her employment because of her age, disability, and/or perceived disability.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343, and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 626(b) (the "ADEA"), which incorporates by reference Sections 16(c) and 17 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 216(c) and 217; and Section 107(a) of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (the "ADA"), which incorporates by reference Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3); and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.
- The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Middle District of Georgia, Columbus Division.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff, the EEOC, is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation, and enforcement of the ADEA and Title I of the ADA, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 7(b)

of the ADEA, 29 U.S.C. § 626(b), as amended by Section 2 of Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1978, 92 Stat. 3781, and Public Law 98-532 (1984), 98 Stat. 2705; and by Section 107(a) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12117(a), which incorporates by reference Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1).

- 4. Defendant Covenant Woods Senior Living, LLC ("Covenant Woods") is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Tennessee. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant Covenant Woods transacted under the names Covenant Woods Retirement Community and/or Covenant Woods, a Senior Living Community (hereinafter "Covenant Woods Retirement Community"). Through its operation of Covenant Woods Retirement Community, Defendant Covenant Woods conducted business in the State of Georgia since at least December 19, 2014.
- 5. Defendant BrightSpace Senior Living, LLC ("BrightSpace") is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Tennessee. Since at least December 19, 2014, Defendant BrightSpace conducted business in the State of Georgia through its ownership and operation of Covenant Woods Retirement Community.
- Defendants maintain the same principal place of business located at 7042 Lee Highway, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37421.

- In filings with the Georgia Secretary of State, Defendants maintain the same registered agent.
- 8. Defendant Covenant Woods is privately owned and managed by Defendant BrightSpace.
- Employees of Defendant BrightSpace represented Defendant
 Covenant Woods throughout the administrative proceedings relevant to this action.
- Defendant BrightSpace offers guidance and input into employment decisions made by Defendant Covenant Woods.
- Employees of Defendant BrightSpace facilitated and participated in Defendant Covenant Woods's termination of Noble's employment.
- 12. Defendants are so integrated with respect to ownership and operations as to constitute a single or integrated employer for purposes of the ADEA and the ADA.
- 13. Alternatively, Defendants each exercised sufficient control over the terms and conditions of Noble's employment as to constitute Noble's joint employers for purposes of the ADEA and the ADA.
- At all relevant times, Defendants have collectively and continuously maintained at least 20 employees.

Manager raised any concern about Noble's ability to perform her duties as Receptionist.

- 33. From on or about the General Manager's hire in April 2021 through on or about February 10, 2022, Defendants tasked Noble to perform her typical duties as Receptionist.
- 34. On or about February 10, 2022, Noble suffered an incident of high blood pressure while at work; Noble was taken to the hospital.
 - Noble was released from the hospital approximately two days later.
- 36. At the time of her release from the hospital, Noble was told by her doctors that her February 10, 2022 high blood pressure incident had been the result of dehydration.
 - Noble was next scheduled to work on or about February 16, 2022.
- 38. On or about February 14, 2022, Noble visited Covenant Woods Retirement Community to let residents and colleagues know that she was feeling better and would be returning to work, as scheduled.
- 39. When Noble returned to work on or about February 16, 2022, a newly hired employee nearly 30 years her junior was performing Receptionist duties at Noble's desk. Noble was instructed to meet with the General Manager and Office Manager.

- 40. The General Manager opened the meeting with Noble by asking Noble how long she planned to continue working. The General Manager asked Noble questions such as: "Where do you see yourself? Do you need to keep working? Don't you want to travel? See your brother?"
- Noble responded that she intended to keep working for another two or three years.
- 42. The General Manager then told Noble that Defendants had lost confidence on her ability to perform her duties as Receptionist, and that Noble's February 10, 2022 medical incident had heightened purported concerns about Noble's performance.
- 43. Defendant Covenant Woods' Associate Handbook provides that the general disciplinary steps for "poor job performance" include a verbal warning, a first written warning, a second written warning, a suspension, and, finally, termination.
- In contravention of Defendant's policies, the General Manager began the process of removing Noble from her role as Receptionist.
- 45. The General Manager told Noble she had the options of working once per week on Sundays, of being transferred to another, unspecified role in an

Discriminatory Termination - Age

- 59. At all times relevant to this action, Noble has been within the class of individuals protected by the ADEA. At the time of her termination in February 2022, Noble was 78 years old.
- 60. Defendants, operating in concert as an integrated enterprise and/or as Noble's joint employers, terminated Noble because of her age.
- 61. The practices complained of in paragraphs 40 through 58 have deprived Noble of equal employment opportunities and have otherwise adversely affected her status as an employee because of her age.
- 62. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs 40 through 58 were willful within the meaning of Section 7(b) of the ADEA, 29 U.S.C. § 626(b).

Discriminatory Termination - Disability

- 63. Noble is a qualified individual with a disability under Sections 3 and 101(8) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 and 1211(8):
 - a. Noble has an impairment, chronic high blood pressure, that substantially limits major life activities, including the operation of the major bodily functions of her cardiovascular system.

- b. Defendants regarded Noble as having a disability by subjecting her to adverse employment actions, including the termination of her employment, because of an actual or perceived impairment of chronic high blood pressure. Prior to terminating her employment, Defendants were aware that Noble regularly took medication to manage her blood pressure.
- c. At all times relevant to this action, Noble was qualified to perform the essential functions of her job as Receptionist, with or without a reasonable accommodation.
- 64. Defendants, operating in concert as an integrated enterprise and/or as Noble's joint employers, terminated Noble because of her disability or perceived disability.
- 65. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs 40 to 58 were intentional.
- 66. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraphs 40 to 58 were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Noble.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the EEOC respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants, their officers, successors, assigns and all other persons in active concert or participation with them, from terminating their employees because of their age or because of their actual or perceived disabilities.
- B. Order Defendants to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for individuals 40 years of age or older and for qualified individuals with disabilities, and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices.
- C. Grant a judgment requiring Defendants to pay appropriate back wages in an amount to be determined at trial, an equal sum as liquidated damages, and prejudgment interest to Noble.
- D. Order Defendants to make whole Noble, by providing the affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of their unlawful practices, including but not limited to the reinstatement of her employment or front pay in lieu of reinstatement.
- E. Order Defendants to make whole Noble by providing compensation for past and future nonpecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment

practices described in paragraphs 40 to 58, including emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and humiliation, in amounts to be determined at trial.

- F. Order Defendants to pay Noble punitive damages for their malicious and reckless conduct, as described in paragraphs 40 to 58 above, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- G. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest.
 - H. Award the EEOC its costs in this action.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The EEOC requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

KARLA GILBRIDE General Counsel

CHRISTOPHER LAGE Deputy General Counsel

MARCUS G. KEEGAN Regional Attorney

Case 4:24-cv-00022-CPIVIP@OVER SHEEIR 02/14/24 Page 1 of 2 The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. INEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM DEFENDANTS L (a) PLAINTIFFS COVENANT WOODS SENIOR LIVING, LLC D/B/A COVENANT WOODS, A SENIOR LIVING COMMUNITY EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) TEXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES NOTE IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place on "X" in the Box for Placeout II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in One Ben Only) and the Box for Defendant) PIF DEF X | U.S. Government 1 Federal Question [] 1 Incorporated or Principal Place (f. X. Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State Plaintiff of Husings In This State [] 2 (ecorporated and Principal Place Q 5 Q 5 4 Diversity 2 U.S Government Citizen of Another State of Business In Another State (Indicate Catizenskip of Parties in Item III) Defendant 3 Eercign Nation 6 6 University Subject of a Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Flace an X on One Ben Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Description CONTRACT TORTS FORFEITI RE-PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES 140 Issurance 120 Manuse 130 Miller Act PERSONAL INJURY 625 Drug Related Science 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 175 False Clasmy Act PERSONAL INJURY 423 Withdrawal 310 Airplane 345 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 881 176 Our Tam (31 USC 315 Auplane Product 690 Other 28 USC 157 Product Ludwints X7.7483333 400 State Reapportionment 367 Health Care INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS 140 Negotiable Instrument Labelity 150 Recovery of Overpayment 7 320 Assault Libel & 410 Antitrust Pharmaceutical 430 Basks and Banking & Enforcement of Fadgmen Slander Personal Injury \$20 Copyrights 151 Medicare Act 330 Federal Employers Product Lubility 450 Commerce x30 Patent 152 Recovery of Defaulted 368 Asbestos Petsonal 460 Deportation Lasholity 835 Patent - Abbreviated 340 Marine Student Loans Innurs Prochect 470 Racketeer Influenced and New Drug Application 345 Marine Product (Excludes Veterans) Corrupt Organizations Linkship 840 Trademark 153 Recovery of Overpayment Lubshity PERSONAL PROPERTY LABOR 480 Consumer Credit 880 Defend Trade Secrets 150 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending of Veteran's Henefits (35 1 SE 1681 or 1692) Act of 2016 355 Motor Vehicle 160 Stockholders' Suits 483 Telephone Consumer Act 190 Other Contract Product Labelity 380 Other Personal 720 Labor Management SOCIAL SECURITY Protection Act 195 Contract Product Liability 360 Other Personal Property Damage Relations 490 Cable Sat TV 861 HIA (1395II) 740 Radway Labor Act 196 Franchise Impart 185 Property Damage 862 Black Long (923) 850 Securities Communicion 362 Personal Injury Product Liability 751 Family and Medical 863 DIWC DIWW (405(g)) Exchange Medical Maloract 800 Other Statutory Actions Leave Act 864 SSID Title XVI REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS TWO Other Labor Literation 865 R51 (405(a)) 891 Agricultural Acts 791 Employee Retsement 10 Land Condemnation 891 Les incremental Matters 140 Other Civil Right Habeas Corpus: 441 Voling 220 Fewer-lowery 463 Alten Detamer Income Security Act 895 Freedom of Information FEDERAL TAX SUITS 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 442 Employmen 510 Motions to Vacate \$70 Taxes H. S. Plaintiff Act 8% Arbitration 240 Forty to Land 245 Fort Product Liability 443 Housing or Defendant) Sentence Accommodations 15th Ciencral \$71 IRS Third Party 899 Administrative Procedure 290 All Other Real Property X 445 Amer w Desabelita IMMIGRATION \$35 Death Ponaire TO I SE THINK Act Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of Employment Other 462 Naturalization App 465 Other Immigration 446 Amer, w Desabeliti Other 550 Civil Rights Actions State Statutes 448 Education 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place on "X" in One Beat Only) 2 Removed from 1 Original Remanded from 4 Reinstated or 5 Transferred from 6 Multidistrict 8 Multidistrict Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Another District Literation -Litigation Transfer Direct File ispecula) Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity).

Age Discomination in Employment Act of 1967. Title I of the Americans with Disability Act of 1990, as amended, and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause Discrimination on the basis of age and disability VII. REQUESTED IN DEMAND S

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.CV.P. JURY DEMAND: X Yes No VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (New rendered tions) IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER DATE Fahad A. Khan, Trial Attorney Digitally signed by Fahad A. Khan, Trial Attorney Date. 2024 02 14 12 16 20 -05'00' Feb 14, 2024 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT AMOUNT APPLYING IEP RINGE MAG BUDGE